The Carbonyl Group Frequency. Part V.¹ Alkyl Formates

By J. Sydney Byrne, Paul F. Jackson, Keith J. Morgan,* and Neville Unwin, Department of Chemistry, University of Lancaster, Lancaster

The low carbonyl frequency of alkyl formates is not attributable to substituent polar effects. Calculations for a simplified model and comparison with other carbonyl compounds suggest it may arise from vibrational perturbations due to the presence of the hydrogen substituent, notably those due to the low mass and large coupling effects. The main carbonyl band is accompanied by a weaker band at higher frequency; this is assigned to the less stable s-cis isomer.

REPLACEMENT of the alkyl groups of acetone by more electronegative substituents raises the frequency of the carbonyl group vibration.² Thus both acetaldehyde and methyl acetate have higher carbonyl frequencies³ than acetone. In many carbonyl compounds the effects of multiple substitution can be treated additively² and useful empirical rules for the evaluation of carbonyl frequencies are available.⁴ In this respect the simple alkyl formates are apparently anomalous since their carbonyl frequencies are lower than those of the corresponding acetates.³ This observation does not lend itself to simple interpretation in terms of substituent polar effects. In an attempt to resolve the anomaly the spectra of a range of simple alkyl formates have been measured for a range of solvents and the in-plane skeletal vibrations of methyl formate analysed in terms of a simple model.

EXPERIMENTAL

t-Butyl formate was prepared from t-butanol (100 g), formic acid (50 ml), and acetic anhydride (100 ml). The product, b.p. 80-86°, was a mixture of esters which was cleanly fractionated by distillation through a spinning band column giving t-butyl formate,⁵ b.p. 83°, $n_{\rm D}^{22}$ 1·3791 and t-butyl acetate, b.p. 97°, $n_{\rm D}^{24}$ 1·3852. G.l.c. using an Apiezon L column and n.m.r. spectroscopy showed the purity of the formate to be >99% (using a PEGA column acetate and formate were not resolved).

Other formate esters were commercial samples which were dried and distilled before use. G.l.c. showed that in all cases the purity of the samples was >98%.

Solution spectra were measured using 0.1 mm cells for ca. 0.1M and 0.4M solutions in acetonitrile, dibutyl ether, dimethyl sulphoxide, dioxan, nitromethane, pyridine, and triethylamine; for other solvents 1.0 mm cells with ca. 0.01 M and 0.04 M solutions were used. At other than

* We were not able to reproduce the published spectrum of t-butyl formate in dimethyl sulphoxide showing a well defined inflection.⁹ Under our conditions the envelope of the carbonyl band is smooth but its asymmetric contour and increased halfband width indicate that it may embrace a second band.

Part IV, J. S. Byrne, P. F. Jackson, and K. J. Morgan, J.C.S. Perkin II, 1972, 1291.
 L. J. Bellamy, 'The Infrared Spectra of Complex Molecules,' Methuen, London, 1957, chs. 9 and 11.
 H. W. Thompson and P. Torkington, J. Chem. Soc., 1945, 640; E. J. Hartwell, R. E. Richards, and H. W. Thompson, *ibid*. 1948, 1436.

bid., 1948, 1436.
⁴ G. Eglinton, 'Physical Methods in Organic Chemistry,' ed. J. C. P. Schwarz, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1964, ch. 3.

ambient temperatures a R.I.I.C. VLT-2 variable temperature unit was used. Vapours were examined in a 10 cm cell at pressures 5-20 mmHg, and at higher temperatures by the use of a R.I.I.C. CH 5 heated cell.

Spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer model 225 spectrometer with dry air flushing. Calibration was by interpolation from external water vapour bands.⁶ Band locations were checked using a Du Pont 310 curve resolver and are believed to be accurate to better than $\pm 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for main bands and $\pm 2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for inflections.

Vibrational frequencies were calculated for conventional **F** and **G** matrices ⁷ using the following parameters: r_{C-H} 1.09, $r_{C=0}$ 1.22, $r_{C=0}$ 1.37 Å, $k_{C=0}$ 10.92, $k_{C=H}$ 4.66, $k_{C=0}$ 4.8, $h_{\rm HC=0}$ 0.49, $h_{\rm HC=0}$ 0.36, $h_{\rm OCO}$ 1.92, $f_{\rm C=0, HC=0}$ 0.1, $f_{\rm C=0, HC=0}$ 0.2, $f_{\rm C-O,OCO}$ 0.1 mdyn Å⁻¹.

RESULTS

The carbonyl frequencies for alkyl formates as vapours and solutions are in Table 1. The band contours for the vapours exhibit well defined rotational structure and the quoted figures refer to the strong central branch. The main carbonyl band is accompanied by a second band which usually appears as a weak higher frequency inflection although a discrete peak is observed for solutions of some esters in nitromethane and acetonitrile; the relative intensity of the second band increases with temperature, with the bulk of the alkyl group and with the polarity of the solvent. The possibility that this band might be due to contamination by acetate from the use of the mixed anhydride was excluded by monitoring the samples by n.m.r. spectroscopy and g.l.c. analysis. Similar results have been reported for t-butyl formate in certain solvents by Oki et al.* 9 Where comparisons are possible the main carbonyl bands are generally in good agreement with those reported previously.3,9,10

In-plane vibrational frequencies calculated for a simple tetra-atomic model (I) are in Table 2. This model is

⁵ W. D. Closson and P. Haugh, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 2384.

⁶ I.U.P.A.C. Tables of Wavelengths for the Calibration of Infrared Spectrometers, Butterworths, London, 1961. ⁷ E. B. Wilson, J. C. Decius, and P. C. Cross, 'Molecular

Vibrations,' McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955. ⁸ Tables of Interatomic Distances, Chem. Soc. Special Publ.,

Nos. 11 and 18, 1958 and 1965. ⁹ M. Oki and H. Nakanishi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1970, 43,

2558.

¹⁰ H. W. Thompson and D. A. Jameson, Spectrochim. Acta, 1958, **13**, 236; A. R. Katritzky, J. M. Lagowski, and J. A. T. Beard, ibid., 1960, 16, 964; R. Heess and H. Kriegsmann, ibid., 1968, 24, 2121.

						(· / · - ·····		-				
Vapour Solvent	HCO ₂ Me		HCO ₂ Et		нсо	HCO_2Pr		HCO ₂ Bu		HCO ₂ Pr ⁱ		HCO_2Bu^t	
	(1796)	1754.5	(1795.5)	1750	(1794.5)	1751	(1793.5)	1750.5	1787.5	1746.5	(1778)	1744	
C_6H_{12} NEt ₃ Bu ₂ O CCl ₄ CS ₂ Dioxan MeCN Pyridine MeNO ₂ CH ₂ Cl ₂	$\begin{array}{c} (1774) \\ (1769) \\ (1768) \\ (1768) \\ (1762 \cdot 5) \\ (1755 \cdot 5) \\ (1750 \cdot 5) \\ (1752) \\ (1752 \cdot 5) \\ (1753 \cdot 5) \\ (1753 \cdot 5) \\ (1753 \cdot 5) \\ (1753 \cdot 5) \end{array}$	1740 1737:5 1736:5 1734:5 1733 1727:5 1727 1726 1726 1726 1727	$(1768) \\ (1765) \\ (1763) \\ (1758) \\ (1757) \\ (1757) \\ (1750 \cdot 5) \\ 1747 \cdot 5 \\ (1746 \cdot 5) \\ (1746 \cdot 5) \\ (1747 \cdot 5) \\ (1748) \\ ($	1736 1735 1733 1730·5 1729 1724 1723 1722 1721·5 1722 1721·5	$(1768\cdot5) \\ (1763\cdot5) \\ (1763) \\ (1759\cdot5) \\ (1756\cdot5) \\ (1750) \\ 1745 \\ (1747\cdot5) \\ (1745\cdot5) \\ (1747\cdot2) \\ (1748) $	$1736 1735 \cdot 5 1733 \cdot 5 1731 1729 \cdot 5 1724 1723 1722 \cdot 5 1722 \cdot 5 1722 \cdot 5 1721 \cdot 5 1721 - 5 1721 - 5 1721 - 5 1721 - 5 1721 - 5 1722 - 5 1721 - 5 1722 - 5 1721 - 5 1721 - 5 1722 - 5 1721 - 5 172$	$(1768\cdot5) \\ (1760\cdot5) \\ (1758) \\ (1757) \\ (1757) \\ (1751) \\ 1746 \\ (1747\cdot5) \\ (1744) \\ (1747) \\ (1748)$	1736 1735.5 1733 1730.5 1729 1724 1722.5 1722 1721.5 1722 1721.5	$(1766 \cdot 5) \\ (1758 \cdot 5) \\ (1758) \\ (1757) \\ (1757) \\ (1753) \\ (1748 \cdot 5) \\ 1742 \\ (1744) \\ 1741 \cdot 5 \\ 1746 \\ (1744 \cdot 5) \\ 1744 \cdot 5) \\ (1744 \cdot 5) \\$	1732.5 1731.5 1729 1727 1725.5 1720.5 1719 1719 1718 1718.5 1717	$(1754) \\ (1749) \\ (1750) \\ (1746) \\ (1742 \cdot 5) \\ (1735 \cdot 5) \\ (1731 \cdot 5) \\ (1731) \\ (1733 \cdot 5) \end{cases}$	1732 1729:5 1728:5 1726 1724:5 1720 1718:5 1718:5 1717:5 1718 1718	
CHBr ₃ Me ₂ SO m ^b	(1750) (1751) $(1745\cdot5)$ $1\cdot61$	1720 1722 1718 $\cdot 5$ 0 $\cdot 99$	(1745) $(1745\cdot5)$ $(1741\cdot5)$ $1\cdot63$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1721 \\ 1717.5 \\ 1715.5 \\ 0.98 \\ \end{array} $	(1748) (1745.5) (1741) 1.66	1718 1716 1·01	(1745) (1745.5) (1739.5) 1.69	1718 1715·5 0·99	(1741.5) (1741.5) (1736.5) 1.62	1714 1712 0·97	1.66	$1714 \\ 1714 \\ 0.88$	

^a Frequencies in brackets indicate points of inflection. ^b Gradient of $v = m v_{MeOAc} + d$.

arbitrary in that the C–O stretching constant was set at the value used previously for methyl acetete (4.8 mdyn Å⁻¹); ¹¹

TABLE 2

Frequencies (cm⁻¹) for in-plane skeletal vibrations of methyl formate

	нсс	D_2Me	DCO ₂ Me				
C–H Stretch C=O Stretch C–H Bend C–O Stretch	Obs. ²¹ 2943 1754 1371 1207 767	Calc. 2943 1754 1376 1207 750	Obs. ²⁴ 2216 1712 * 1048 1213 769	Calc. 2233 1707 959 1238 759			

* See Discussion section.

the C-H stretching constant is determined directly by the vibrational frequency and the other constants were adjusted

formate changing only the value $m_{\rm H}$ in the **G** matrix shows reasonable agreement with the observed frequencies (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The variation in carbonyl group frequency with substitution has frequently been described in terms of the electronic effects of substituents on the contributions of canonical forms (II) and (III).²⁻⁴ This may be expressed quantitatively in terms of linear correlations with polar substituent constants.¹² Within the series of simple aliphatic esters there is good correlation ¹³ of the group frequency with the polar substituent constants (σ^*) for alkyl substituents in both acyl and alkoxy-groups, $\nu_{CO}^{vap} \simeq 50\Sigma\sigma^* + 1770$ cm⁻¹. For formates the effects on the carbonyl frequency of changes in the alkoxy alkyl group fit a similar equation, $\nu_{CO}^{vap} \simeq$

TABLE 3

Effect on the carbonyl frequency of changes in structural and vibrational parameters of some simple models

Changes of mass and geometry:	Me ₂ CO Force o	MeCO ₂ Me constants ¢	$\begin{array}{c}\Delta \nu C=0 \ (cm^{-1}) \ 1 \end{array}$	Me ₂ CHO Force	HCO ₂ Me constants	$\Delta \nu C=0$ (cm ⁻¹) -2	Me ₂ CO Force	MeCHO constants	$\Delta \nu c_{=0} \ (cm^{-1}) \ -42$	MeCO ₂ M Force	e HCO ₂ Me constants	$\Delta \nu_{\rm C=0} \ ({\rm cm}^{-1}) \ -33$
kc-o	10.49	10.44	9	11.95	10.92	-69	10.42	11.95	103	10.44	10.92	32
$k_{C-Q} \rightarrow k_{Q-Q}$	4.0	4.8		4.0	4.8	11	10 12	11 00	200		1000	
$k_{C-C} \rightarrow k_{C-H}$	10		U		10		4.0	4.3	3	4.0	4.66	0
$h_{CC=0} \rightarrow h_{OCO}$	0.6	1.4	22	0.7	1.92	16						
$h \cos \rightarrow h \cos - 0$	0.42	0.32	-10									
$h_{\rm HCC} \rightarrow h_{\rm HC-O}$				0.3	0.36	9						
$h \cos \rightarrow h H \cos$							0.42	0-3	-14			
$h_{CC=0} \rightarrow h_{HC=0}$							0.6	0.57	0	0.43	0.49	10
$h_{\rm CC-O} \rightarrow h_{\rm HC-O}$										0.32	0.36	3
$h_{CC=0}$	0.6	0.43	-2				0.6	0.7	2			
hHC=0				0.57	0.49							
hoco										1.4	1.92	4
Changes in interaction constants:			17 0			51 ¢			— 44 d			— 36 •
Total frequency change:			36			8			8			-20

^a mdyn Å⁻¹. Force constants for Me₂CO,MeCHO taken from ref. 1 and for MeCO₂Me from ref. 11. ^b fCC,CC → fCC,C-0, 10; fC0,OCO 7 cm⁻¹. ^c fC=0,HC=0, 33 fCCHCC → fC0,HC0, 8; fC0,OCC → fC0,OCO, 10 cm⁻¹. ^d fCC,CC, -8; fO=0,HC=0, -14; fCC,HCC, -14; fCC,CC=0, -8 cm⁻¹. ^e fC-0,OCO, -3; fC=0,HC=0, HC=0, -20; fC=0,HC=0, -26 cm⁻¹.

to obtain satisfactory agreement with observation. The approximate potential energy distribution (p.e.d.) for the carbonyl vibration is not significantly modified by small changes in the C-O constant and calculation for deuterio-

¹¹ A. J. Collings, P. F. Jackson, and K. J. Morgan, J. Chem.

Soc. (B), 1970, 581.

 $36\sigma^* + 1754$ cm⁻¹, but this cannot be extended to the more general equation by the use of $\sigma_{\rm H}^*$.

 ¹² Sec e.g. W. A. Seth-Paul and A. Van Duyse, Spectrochim. Acta, 1972, 28, 211; N. Fuson, M. L. Josien, and E. M. Shelton, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1954, 76, 2526; H. W. Thompson, R. W. Needham, and D. Jameson, Spectrochim. Acta, 1957, 9, 208.
 ¹³ K. J. Morgan and N. Unwin, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1968, 880.

846

Table 1

Carbonyl group frequencies (cm^{-1}) of alkyl formates ^a

In solution regularities persist except that the frequencies for the t-butylester ($\sigma_{Bu}t^* - 0.3$) show low sensitivity to solvent effects and in polar solvents occur at values close to those for isopropyl formate $(\sigma_{Pr}^{i*} - 0.19)$. The fit of modified Bellamy, Hallam, and Williams¹⁴



(BHW) equations, $v = mv_{ret} + d$, is good with either methyl formate or methyl acetate as the reference compound. The sensitivity of the carbonyl frequency to solvent perturbation as indicated by the gradient, m_i is similar to that of methyl acetate; in common with other t-butyl esters,¹³ t-butyl formate has a significantly smaller gradient. The lack of irregularities in the solvent plots indicates that no significant perturbation arises from the postulated ¹⁵ interaction of either basic solvents with the formyl hydrogen or from abnormal backside nucleophilic solvation.

The second band in the carbonyl region of the spectra of alkyl formates is also sensitive to substituents and to solvents. The decrease in frequency accompanying increasing alkyl substitution in the alkoxy-group is similar to that of the main band except that the decrease for t-butyl is considerably greater. The effects of solvents on the band frequency give good modified BHW¹⁴ plots against the carbonyl frequency for methyl acetate (m ca. 1.6) suggesting that this band may also be assigned to a carbonyl vibration.

The stable configuration of esters corresponds to the s-trans form ¹⁶ (IV) and dipole moment,¹⁷ electron diffraction,¹⁸ and microwave measurements ¹⁹ establish that methyl and ethyl formates have this configuration though these methods would not be sensitive to the presence of small amounts of the isomeric s-cis forms. Ultrasonic relaxation measurements 20 have provided evidence for the existence of formates in both forms

¹⁶ R. J. B. Marsden and L. E. Sutton, J. Chem. Soc., 1936, 1383.

¹⁷ R. J. W. Le Fevre and A. Sundaram, J. Chem. Soc., 1962, 3904; E. Bock, Canad. J. Chem., 1967, 45, 2761.

showing rapid equilibration in which the s-trans form is the major component. I.r. measurements ^{21,22} of alkyl formates have been interpreted in terms of an exclusive s-trans structure but recent work 23 has identified thermally sensitive skeletal bands with cis-trans rotational isomerism.

Assignment of a second band in the carbonyl region of the spectrum of t-butyl formate to the s-cis form was made by Oki et al.9 and supported by a wide variety of other physical measurements. Further support is provided by the solvent dependence of this band which is similar to that of other s-cis esters (e.g. δ -valerolactone; m 1.49). The previous failure to observe similar bands in the spectra of less heavily substituted alkyl formates may be attributed to the comparative weakness of the bands. However the band at 1796 (vap) and 1768 cm⁻¹ (CCl₄) in the spectrum of methyl formate and attributed by Wilmshurst²¹ to a combination band [1433 (CH₃ sym bend) + 335 cm⁻¹ (C–O bend)] can now be identified with v_{CO} for the s-cis form: its solvent dependence and the occurence of similar bands in the spectra of other alkyl formates do not appear to be compatible with the original assignment.[†]

In comparison with other esters the main carbonyl band in alkyl formates appears at low frequencies. This band is derived from the s-trans form typical for carboxylates and no electronic interactions peculiar to formates are expected. The substitution of hydrogen for alkyl is unlikely to reduce the carbonyl force constant and the cause of the low frequency may lie in the contributions of other factors to the carbonyl vibration.

Some indication of the nature of the carbonyl group vibration in methyl formate is provided by analysis of the simple tetra-atomic model (I). For this model the carbonyl frequency is largely determined by the carbonyl force constant but the vibration contains contributions from the C-O and C-H groups: the diagonal elements of the **F** matrix give an approximate p.e.d. of C=O (stretch) 73, C-O (stretch) 6, and C-H (bend) 17%. These values are generally similar to those obtained by Susi and Scherer²⁵ from a full vibrational analysis using a modified valence force field (C=O 79, C=O 14, and C=H 7%) though the presence of a number of large interaction constants in the set used by Susi and Scherer²⁵ makes difficult a direct comparison of diagonal p.e.d.s.

¹⁸ J. M. O'Gorman, W. Shand, and V. Schomaker, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1950, **72**, 4222.

 Chem. Soc., 1950, 72, 4222.
 ¹⁹ R. F. Curl, J. Chem. Phys., 1959, **30**, 1529; J. M. Riveres and E. B. Wilson, *ibid.*, 1967, **46**, 4605.
 ²⁰ J. Karpovich, J. Chem. Phys., 1954, **22**, 1767; D. Tabucii, *ibid.*, 1958, **28**, 1014; D. N. Hall and J. Lamb, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1959, **55**, 784; K. M. Burundukov and V. F. Yakovlev, Durg. J. Dhug. Chem. 1068, **49**, 1141; J. P. Gilaya and A. M. North Russ. J. Phys. Chem., 1968, 42, 1141; J. Bailey and A. M. North, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1968, 64, 1499; J. Bailey, S. Walker, and

Perkin II, 1972, 1029.

 H. Susi and T. Zell, Spectrochim. Acta, 1963, 19, 1933.
 H. Susi and J. R. Scherer, Spectrochim. Acta, 1969, 25A, 1243.

[†] In methyl deuterioformate assumption 24 of Fermi resonance between the corresponding two bands gave an apparent carbonyl frequency of 1732 cm⁻¹; this possibility is removed in the revised assignment and the bands are now assigned *s-cis* form, 1751 cm⁻¹, *s-trans* form, 1712 cm⁻¹, the latter being in good agreement with that calculated for the simple model.

¹⁴ L. J. Bellamy, H. E. Hallam, and R. L. Williams, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1958, 54, 1120; A. J. Collings and K. J. Morgan,

J. Chem. Soc., 1908, 34, 1120; A. J. Collings and K. J. Morgan, J. Chem. Soc., 1963, 3437.
 ¹⁶ N. Muller, J. Chem. Phys., 1961, 36, 359; R. Erkks and J. Jokisaari, Z. Naturforsch., 1968, 23a, 2100; R. C. Paul, S. L. Chadha, and J. L. Vashisht, Indian J. Chem., 1969, 7, 275; cf. J. D. Lambert, J. S. Clarke, J. Duke, C. L. Hicks, S. D. Lawrence, D. M. Morris, and M. G. T. Shone, Proc. Roy. Soc., 1950, 240, 414. 1959, A249, 414.

A. M. North, J. Mol. Structure, 1970, 6, 53.
 ²¹ J. K. Wilmshurst, J. Mol. Spectroscopy, 1957, 1, 201.
 ²² T. Miyazawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 1961, 34, 691;
 N. L. Owen and N. Sheppard, Proc. Chem. Soc., 1963, 264.
 ²³ W. O. George, D. V. Hassid, and W. F. Maddams, J.C.S.



Stepwise conversion of parameters for the model of acetone¹ to those for methyl acetate¹¹ suggests that the

J.C.S. Perkin II

nett rise in carbonyl frequency is not dependent on the carbonyl force constant but may be attributed to increased rigidity imparted by a C-O bond. Similar consequences of the introduction of a C-O bond appear in the transformation of acetaldehyde to formate but increases in the carbonyl frequency arise from coupling of C-H (bend) to C=O (stretch) and C-O (stretch). The complementary changes due to the replacement of methyl by hydrogen produce substantial mass and coupling effects which are similar for the change acetone-acetaldehyde and for acetate-formate. The largest individual changes arise from the substituent effects of hydrogen on the carbonyl force constant. In acetaldehyde this effect is greater than in formate, even so for formate the force constant is significantly higher than for acetate. Consequently the low frequency of formate with respect to acetate may be attributed to the mass and coupling effects accompanying the introduction of a hydrogen atom.

We thank the Peel Trust and Sonplex Ltd. (J. S. B. and N. U.) and the S.R.C. (P. F. J.) for maintenance grants.

[2/2438 Received, 27th October, 1972]